ISSN 2055-0286
Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal; Vol. 13 No. 11 (2026) (559-561)
https://doi.org/10.14738/assrj.1311.12757

The Battle of Scirthaea: (103 BCE)

Valentine J. Belfiglio

Abstract: Thesis statement: The Battle of Scirthaea, the major encounter of the Second Servile War (104-100 BCE), was a prelude to the greater encounter of the Third Servile War (73-71BCE). Methodology: Historiography and conceptual analysis of the writings of ancient and modern historians. Results: The battle demonstrated to people throughout the Roman republic that a slave army could challenge the oppression of slavery. Conclusion: The Battle of Scirthaea was a prelude to the greater encounter of the Third Servile War.

Keywords: Slavery, Rebellion, Servile Wars, Oppression, Freedom

INTRODUCTION

Slavery was **central to the Roman Republic** (509–27 BCE), providing labor for agricultural expansion, military campaigns, and the domestic economy, including the island's mines and quarries. Wealthy Roman landowners amassed large estates worked by thousands of enslaved people. Enslaved miners labored long hours in dark, cramped, poorly ventilated tunnels. Romans regarded enslaved people as property, and owners often subjected them to fatal overwork, shackling them during the day and confining them in underground pits at night. Most received insufficient food, clothing, and medical care.[i]

PRIMARY SOURCES

This study relies heavily of the work of Diodorus Siculus (1st. century BCE). While other ancient writers, such as Cassius Dio and Livy briefly mention the Second Servile war, Siculus is the only known ancient writer to describe the Battle of Scirthaea in detail.

SCOPE AND METHOD

The available materials do not permit an empirical approach to the battle. Therefore, the research design to the topic employs historiography and conceptual analysis.

Consul Publius Rupilius suppressed the slave revolt of 135–132 BCE, led by the self-proclaimed king of Enna, Sicily. Enslaved people continued to labor in fields and mines, fearing and resenting their Roman oppressors. Rome's selective emancipation policy deepened these tensions. When Consul Gaius Marius asked King Nicomedes of Bithynia for troops to fight the Cimbri and Teutons in the north, Nicomedes refused because Rome enslaved many Bithynian for unpaid taxes. The Roman Senate then prohibited the enslavement of people from allied countries. Publius Licinius Nerva, governing Sicily, freed 800 enslaved people but this manumission caused problems. Cassius Dio wrote: "that these new freedmen organized a band and by common consent turned to robbery." [ii] Nerva revoked their emancipation after plantation owners protested the loss of labor. This reversal sparked the second slave rebellion, which lasted from 104 to 100 BCE.[iii]

Nerva's inconsistent policy sparked a major slave uprising near Heraclea on Sicily's southern coast. The rebels defeated the 600 soldiers he sent to suppress it, seizing substantial stocks of weapons and supplies. A charismatic insurgent leader, Salvius (Tryphon), emerged, and Sicily's rebels proclaimed him king. Emboldened by the victory at Heraclea, he laid siege to Morgantina in east-central Sicily. Nerva again intervened, but the rebels decisively defeated his legionnaires. Their confidence grew as their army expanded to 20,000 infantry and 2,000 cavalry. Meanwhile, another slave leader, Athenion, raised an army in western Sicily and marched to join Salvius. The growing rebellion alarmed the Roman Senate. Many Senators of the Roman Republic owned latifundia, great, landed estates, in Sicily. These estates specialized in agriculture, and their economics depended upon slavery. [iv]

The rebellious slaves established a stronghold at Triocala, an ancient, fortified settlement in western Sicily. They strengthened the defensive capabilities of the fortification. In 103 BCE the Roman Senate sent Praetor Lucius Licinius Lucullus to quell the rebellion. Lucullus sailed to western Sicily at the head of 17,000 troops. Upon landing he marched his forces towards Triocala . Salvius wanted to confront the army of Lucullus from the fortified position there. However, Athenion persuaded him to confront the Roman legions in open battle. The slave army marched to Scirthaea, 12 miles from the Roman position, and encamped there with 40,000 warriors. The two sides lined up for battle.[v]

THE BATTLE OF SCIRTHAEA

The Roman legions formed a cellular, checkerboard type quincunx formation. This formation could maneuver more easily in rough country, without fear of losing alignment and without concern about gaps appearing in the line. The decision of Athenion to confront the Romans early upon their arrival prevented the Romans from transporting sufficient cavalry to the scene or building artillery for the battle. The Roman formation included three lines of legionnaires. The first line could withdraw through the second line, or the second could advance through the first line. The third line served as an organic reserve. The slave infantry formed as a mass formation led by Salvius, while Athenion led 200 cavalry on its flanks. After skirmishing, the two sides moved towards each other. At close range the legionnaires hurled their two javelins with deadly effect. Then the two armies clashed. Ancient battles were bloodly, brutal and lethal. Uproarious sounds permeated the battlefield: the clash of thousands of metal weapons; the shouts and cries of men; the neigh of horses, and the Roman cornu (horn) relaying tactical orders. There were many casualties on both sides.[vi]

Athenion and his cavalry inflicted heavy losses upon the flanks of Lucullius' men. Still, the Roman army held firm and fought back with determination. They wounded Athenion who fell from his horse, and feigned death to save himself. The slave warriors believed their leader died, lost heart, and fled. Salvius saw his army routed and joined them in flight to the stronghold at Triocala. Under cover of darkness, Athenion escaped. Around 20,000 rebels lay dead. Ancient writers did not record the number of Roman casualties. However, Nathan Rosenstein has provided a careful, extensive study of mortality rates in the Republican Army. His study indicates that Roman victories saw mortality rates of around 4.2% of participants, while defeats saw mortality rates of 16%[vii] Based on Rosenstein's studies the Roman causality rate at Scirthaea could have been circa 714 legionnaires. This estimate could be higher due to Athenion's early cavalry successes.[viii]

THE AFTERMATH

After the battle, Lucullus marched his army towards Triocala. The slaves launched hit-and-run tactics whenever possible, striking at foraging and reconnaissance groups. The Romans struck back and killed Salvius during one of these encounters. When Lucullus reached the refortified Triocala, he ordered a siege. However, the Roman Senate grew tired of Lucullus' progress and alleged embezzlement and in 192 BCE replaced him with Praetor Gaius Servilius. Servilius proved to be an incompetent commander and was unable to successfully lay siege to Triocala. The Senate condemned Servilius and Lucullus and sent them into exile. Meanwhile, the slave revolt spread to other areas in Sicily.[ix]

The Roman Senate sent no further praetors against the rebels in Sicily. In 101 BCE, the veteran consul Manius Aquillius sailed to the island with 17,500 infantry and 2,400 cavalry to suppress the revolt. He killed Athenion in single combat, and his army killed all but 1,000 rebels, ending the war according to Livy. Aquillius sent the survivors to Rome to face wild animals in the arena as bestiarii. They refused to fight and instead took their own lives. For his victory, Aquillius received an ovation in Rome.[x]

CONCLUSION

Slavery engenders financial, administrative, and social burdens for the enslaver. In addition, slavery often leads to insurrections and wars. The Battle of Scirthaea was a prelude to greater encounters during the Third Servile War led by the gladiator Spartacus. The battle demonstrated to people throughout the Roman republic that a slave army could defy oppression and human bondage.

---

[i] Dupont, Florence, 1989. Daily Life in Ancient Rome. Malden, Massachusetts: Blackwell: 56-62.

[ii] Dio, Cassius. 1917. Roman History. Translated by Earnest Cary. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press: 27.93.3.

[iii] Siculus, Diodorus, 2017. The Historical Library. Translated by Giles Lauren. Coppell, TX: Sophron: 36.3.

[iv] Siculus, Diodorus, 2017, The Historical Library. Translated by Giles Lauren. Coppell, TX: Sophron:36.4

[v] Siculus, Diodorus, 36.8.

[vi] Siculus, Diodorus, 36.8.

[vii] Rosenstein, Nathan, 2013. Rome at War: Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press: 118-124.

[viii] Siculus, Diodorus, 36.8.

[ix] Siculus, Diodorus, 36.9.

[xx] Livy. 2023. The Fragments & Periochae, Translated by D.S. Levene. Oxford University Press: 69.7.