



Hidden Voices, Shared Histories: A Systematic Review of Challenges in Representing Marginalized Communities in Africa

Margaret Ismaila¹, Godfred Asare Yeboah², Samuel Arko Mensah³

1. Department of Theatre Arts, University of Ghana, Legon

2. Department of Theatre Arts, University of Education, Winneba

Abstract: This paper critically examines the historiographical challenges in representing marginalized African communities within historical and cultural narratives. Drawing on postcolonial and intersectional frameworks, it synthesizes recent scholarship on systemic barriers such as colonial legacies, epistemic injustice, and socio-political exclusion. The review incorporates emerging literature on digital archiving and participatory methodologies, highlighting their potential to democratize historical representation. Findings suggest that inclusive historiography requires methodological pluralism, ethical engagement, and integration of indigenous knowledge systems. The paper concludes by proposing a research agenda that foregrounds cultural sensitivity and technological innovation in reconstructing Africa's shared histories.

Keywords: Marginalization, Historiography, Epistemic Injustice, Digital Archiving, Indigenous Knowledge.

INTRODUCTION

Marginalized communities in Africa face exclusion from decision-making processes, leading to inadequate representation (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2020). Representation is key to addressing power imbalances and promoting social justice (Fanon, 2018). This review synthesizes existing literature on challenges in representing marginalized communities in Africa. These communities, defined by ethnicity, gender, disability, geography, and socio-economic status, have long been excluded from dominant historical and cultural narratives. While colonial archives privileged European ideologies and systematically suppressed African voices, postcolonial countries have at times reproduced similar limited propensity through a simple majority political system, selective memory, and uneven development. Understanding these dynamics is essential for rebuilding inclusive histories that reflect Africa's identities and lived realities.

During the colonial period, European powers constructed archival systems and historical records that affirmed imperial worldviews while dismissing or distorting indigenous forms of knowledge and perception. This created a skewed historiographical foundation in which African histories were filtered, interpreted, or erased through colonial bureaucratic lenses. Postcolonial scholars have long critiqued these epistemic imbalances. Arowosegbe (2024), for example, argues that the "deconstruction of European colonial intellectual hegemony" remains central to rethinking African history, given that many archives were designed to reflect colonial interests rather than the perspectives of African communities. He further contends that political independence did not resolve these

epistemological limitations; instead, certain strands of postcolonial scholarship continue to privilege “the savant” while sidelining grassroots, community-based narratives.

One of the most persistent challenges is the invisibility of non-traditional or “other archives.” Colonial archival practice often failed to recognize oral testimonies, songs, rituals, murals, genealogies, or ecological knowledge as legitimate historical sources. Yet these indigenous archives carry vital information about the lived experiences, cosmologies, and epistemologies of marginalized groups. Illustratively, Asare Yeboah’s (2024) documentation of the Gyangyanadze community in the Central Region of Ghana demonstrates how oral transmission remains central to preserving origin histories. His deliberate use of devised theatre to narrate communal memory highlights the richness of indigenous knowledge systems and the importance of performative archives in historical reconstruction. Similarly, Ngoepe and Bhebhe (2024) call for an expanded definition of archival materials, one that includes memory, rock art, oral praise poetry, and community ritual practices as authoritative historical records.

Recent developments in digital technology have opened new possibilities for democratizing and decentralizing historical representation. However, digital archives are not inherently neutral. Studies on Portuguese-colonized African territories reveal that digitization practices often replicate colonial hierarchies by prioritizing European languages, metadata structures, and classification systems.

As illustrated by Asare Yeboah’s (2024) decision to translate a Fante-language performance text into English for a wider audience, linguistic mediation continues to shape the visibility and accessibility of marginalized histories.

At the same time, community-based heritage and archaeological initiatives across Africa have shown promise in reclaiming marginalized pasts. These approaches position local communities as co-producers rather than subjects of research, emphasizing shared authority, respect for indigenous knowledge, and long-term collaboration. The rise of indigenous data sovereignty highlights ethical concerns surrounding control, authorship, and accountability in historical representation.

Against this backdrop, this review synthesizes the emerging literature to highlight challenges and possibilities in representing marginalized African communities within historical and cultural narratives.

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Epistemic Injustice and the Politics of Knowledge Production

A significant body of scholarship identifies epistemic injustice as a central challenge in the representation of marginalized African communities. Fricker’s (2007) concept of epistemic injustice, particularly testimonial and hermeneutical injustice, has been widely applied to African historiography to explain how certain knowledges are systematically discredited or rendered unintelligible. Colonial archival systems privileged written documentation, administrative reports, and missionary accounts, thereby excluding indigenous epistemologies rooted in oral tradition, performance, and material culture. As Arowosegbe (2024) argues, colonial knowledge production was not merely extractive but also classificatory, producing hierarchies of credibility that continue to shape postcolonial scholarship.

Postcolonial African states have not fully dismantled these epistemic hierarchies. Instead, national historiographies often reproduce elite-centered narratives that align with dominant ethnic, linguistic, or political groups. Mbembe (2001) contends that the post-colonial colony remains structured by inherited colonial logics of power, where state archives function as instruments of both memory and forgetting. Consequently, marginalized communities, particularly rural populations, nomadic groups, women, persons with disabilities, and sexual minorities, remain underrepresented or misrepresented within official historical accounts.

Marginalization, Intersectionality, and Silenced Subjectivities

Recent studies increasingly employ intersectionality to analyze how multiple axes of identity intersect to produce layered forms of marginalization. Crenshaw's (1989) framework has been adapted by African feminist scholars to reveal how gender intersects with ethnicity, class, religion, and geography to shape exclusion from historical narratives. Boateng (2020) demonstrates that in Ghana, women from minority ethnic groups experience compounded marginalization, even within ostensibly inclusive democratic structures. Similarly, Tamale (2020) argues that African sexual minorities are not only socially stigmatized but also systematically erased from cultural memory and historical discourse.

Disability studies further expose how ableist assumptions embedded in colonial and postcolonial narratives have rendered disabled bodies historically invisible. Grech (2015) notes that African disability histories are often framed through biomedical or charitable lenses rather than as social and cultural experiences shaped by colonial labor regimes, warfare, and environmental change. These omissions underscore how marginalized subjectivities are silenced not through absence alone, but through selective representation and misframing.

Oral Traditions, Performance, and Alternative Archives

Scholars increasingly challenge the dominance of written archives by foregrounding oral traditions and performative practices as legitimate historical sources. Vansina's (1985) foundational work on oral tradition established methodological rigor for engaging spoken histories, while more recent scholars emphasize performance as an archive. Taylor (2003) conceptualizes performance as a repertoire of embodied knowledge that transmits memory across generations. Within African contexts, storytelling, festivals, masquerades, and ritual performances function as dynamic sites of historical preservation.

Asare Yeboah's (2024) work exemplifies this approach by using devised theatre to reconstruct the origin histories of the Gyangyanadze community. By situating performance as both method and archive, such studies challenge the epistemological boundaries of historiography. Ngoepe and Bhebhe (2024) similarly argue that community rituals, praise poetry, and rock art constitute "living archives" that demand archival recognition. However, scholars caution that integrating oral and performative archives into academic discourse requires careful attention to context, power relations, and community consent.

Digital Humanities, Archival Power, and Decolonial Futures

The rise of digital humanities has generated optimism regarding the democratization of historical representation. Digital platforms allow marginalized communities to document, store, and disseminate their histories beyond state-controlled institutions. Moyo (2024) argues that open-access digital archives disrupt traditional gatekeeping by enabling grassroots participation. Chikafa-Chipiro (2023) further suggests that decolonial digital projects reconfigure archival authority by privileging indigenous epistemologies and multilingual narratives.

Nevertheless, digitization also presents new risks. Scholars studying Portuguese-colonized African contexts warn that digital archives often replicate colonial power structures through metadata standards, language hierarchies, and funding dependencies. Risam (2019) cautions that without critical intervention, digital humanities can reproduce global inequalities under the guise of technological neutrality. Issues of data ownership, cultural appropriation, and extractive digitization practices remain pressing concerns, particularly when communities lack control over how their histories are represented and accessed.

Participatory, Community-Based, and Ethical Approaches

Participatory research methodologies have gained prominence as ethical responses to historical marginalization. Approaches such as photovoice (Karuga et al., 2023), community mapping, and participatory theatre position marginalized groups as co-researchers rather than subjects. These methods align with broader calls for indigenous research paradigms that emphasize relationality, reciprocity, and accountability.

Indigenous data sovereignty frameworks further stress the importance of community control over cultural data. Kukutai and Taylor (2016) argue that marginalized communities must retain authority over how their knowledge is collected, stored, and disseminated. In African contexts, this includes negotiating intellectual property rights, benefit-sharing, and long-term custodianship of digital and performative archives.

METHODOLOGY

We conducted a systematic review of literature published between 2018-2023, focusing on sub-Saharan Africa. Search items included “marginalized communities”, “representation”, “Africa”, and related keywords. 25 studies met inclusion criteria.

Challenges in Representation

Representing marginalized communities in Africa is fraught with challenges. One major obstacle is the unequal power dynamics between representatives and marginalized groups, which hinders authentic representation (Mamdani, 2018). Additionally, limited access to resources, education, and platforms silence marginalized voices (Nyamnjoh, 2020). Cultural restrictions and language barriers also exclude marginalized groups from decision-making processes (Ake, 2019). Furthermore, tokenistic representation can legitimize exclusionary practices without meaningful engagement (Biney, 2021). These challenges are deeply rooted

in Africa's colonial legacy, which continues to marginalize certain groups (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2020). The intersectionality of identities, such as poverty, ethnicity, and disability, exacerbates marginalization (Crenshaw, 2019).

Thematic Analysis

Thematic analysis of challenges in representing marginalized communities in Africa reveals several key issues. Historical, social, economic, and cultural factors contribute to inequality, with colonial legacy playing a significant role in marginalizing certain groups. The Ogiek people of Kenya, for instance faced eviction from their ancestral lands, highlighting the struggle for indigenous rights (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2020). The intersectionality of identities, such as poverty, ethnicity, and disability exacerbate marginalization, making it crucial to address these overlapping issues Crenshaw, (2019). The digital divide also poses a significant challenge, with limited access to technology and online platforms silencing marginalized voices (Mabwe, 2022). Furthermore, social stigma and discrimination within communities worsen marginalization, particularly affecting persons with disabilities, older adults, and children heading households. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach, including grassroots resistance, legal action, and international advocacy. The Ogiek people's efforts demonstrate the power of community-driven initiatives in promoting social justice and recognition.

DISCUSSIONS

Persistent Structural Barriers to Representation

The literature reveals that the underrepresentation of marginalized African communities is not accidental but structurally produced. Colonial archival legacies, postcolonial state practices, and academic norms collectively reinforce exclusion. Even well-intentioned scholarship can perpetuate marginalization when it prioritizes elite voices, written documentation, or externally defined research agendas. This underscores the need for reflexivity in historical research and a critical interrogation of whose voices are authorized to speak.

Reconciling Oral, Performative, and Written Histories

A key challenge lies in reconciling oral and performative traditions with written records without subordinating the former. Oral histories are often dismissed as unreliable, yet written archives are equally shaped by bias, omission, and power. Rather than positioning oral and written sources in opposition, scholars increasingly advocate for a pluralistic archival framework that recognizes multiple modes of historical knowing. Performance-based methodologies, such as devised theatre, offer innovative ways of bridging this divide by embodying historical memory while remaining analytically rigorous.

Digital Possibilities and Ethical Tensions

Digital technologies offer unprecedented opportunities to amplify hidden voices and facilitate shared histories. However, ethical tensions surrounding consent, representation,

and ownership persist. Digitization without community governance risks transforming marginalized histories into consumable cultural artifacts detached from their social contexts. Ethical digital archiving must therefore prioritize participatory design, multilingual access, and culturally appropriate protocols.

Towards Shared Authority and Decolonized Historiography

This review highlights a shift from extractive models of research toward shared authority and collaborative knowledge production. Community-based heritage initiatives demonstrate that marginalized communities can actively shape how their histories are represented when given institutional support and resources. Decolonizing historiography requires not only methodological innovation but also institutional transformation, including curriculum reform, funding realignment, and recognition of alternative scholarly outputs such as performance, digital storytelling, and community archives.

Implications for Policy, Practice, and Scholarship

Policy interventions should support community-driven archiving initiatives, integrate indigenous epistemologies into formal education, and invest in digital infrastructure that serves marginalized populations. For scholars, the findings underscore the necessity of participatory ethics, interdisciplinary methods, and long-term engagement with communities. Ultimately, representing marginalized African communities is not merely a technical challenge but a moral and political imperative tied to questions of justice, memory, and belonging.

CONCLUSION

Representing marginalized communities in Africa requires addressing power dynamics, cultural barriers, and intersectional challenges. This paper set out to critically examine the challenges involved in representing marginalized communities in African historical and cultural narratives. Through a systematic review of interdisciplinary scholarship, it has been demonstrated that marginalization within African historiography is not merely the result of historical oversight but a product of deeply embedded colonial legacies, epistemic injustice, and postcolonial power relations. These forces have shaped what is remembered, how it is recorded, and whose voices are legitimized within both academic and institutional knowledge systems.

The review reveals that colonial archival infrastructures established enduring hierarchies of knowledge that privileged written, bureaucratic, and Eurocentric modes of documentation while suppressing indigenous epistemologies rooted in orality, performance, ritual, and material culture. Despite political independence, many postcolonial African states have reproduced these exclusionary archival practices, often reinforcing elite-centered and majoritarian narratives that marginalize minority ethnic groups, women, persons with disabilities, sexual minorities, and rural communities. As such, historical exclusion persists not only through absence but through selective representation, misinterpretation, and epistemic filtering.

A key contribution of this study lies in foregrounding alternative archives as legitimate and indispensable sources of historical knowledge. Oral traditions, performative practices, community rituals, and embodied memory emerge from the literature as dynamic repositories of history that challenge the epistemological dominance of written archives. By recognizing performance and storytelling as both method and archive, the paper highlights innovative approaches—such as devised theatre and participatory heritage practices—that enable communities to narrate their own histories on their own terms. These approaches do not merely supplement existing archives; they fundamentally expand the boundaries of historiography itself.

The review further demonstrates that digital technologies occupy an ambivalent position in contemporary efforts to recover marginalized histories. While digital archives and open-access platforms offer unprecedented opportunities to democratize historical representation and bypass institutional gatekeeping, they also risk reproducing colonial hierarchies through language dominance, metadata classification, and extractive digitization practices. Without ethical frameworks grounded in community governance, consent, and indigenous data sovereignty, digital heritage initiatives may inadvertently transform living histories into detached cultural commodities. Thus, technological innovation must be accompanied by cultural sensitivity, ethical accountability, and shared authority.

Taken together, the findings underscore that inclusive African historiography requires methodological pluralism, participatory ethics, and institutional transformation. Decolonizing historical representation is not simply a matter of adding marginalized voices to existing narratives, but of rethinking how historical knowledge is produced, validated, and disseminated. This involves expanding definitions of scholarly evidence, recognizing alternative forms of intellectual labor, and repositioning marginalized communities as co-creators rather than subjects of research.

RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

This paper makes three key contributions to African historiography and related fields. First, it provides a synthesized and systematic account of the structural, epistemic, and ethical challenges that continue to shape the representation of marginalized communities in Africa. Second, it advances the conceptual integration of postcolonial theory, intersectionality, and archival studies to illuminate how power operates across historical knowledge systems. Third, it highlights emerging methodological pathways, particularly participatory, performative, and digital approaches that hold transformative potential when grounded in shared authority and indigenous epistemologies.

The implications of these findings extend across policy, pedagogy, and research practice. Policymakers and cultural institutions are encouraged to support community-driven archiving initiatives, invest in inclusive digital infrastructure, and develop heritage policies that respect indigenous ownership and custodianship. Within higher education, curricula should integrate indigenous knowledge systems and alternative archives, thereby challenging students to engage critically with dominant historiographical assumptions. For scholars, the review reinforces the importance of reflexivity, interdisciplinary collaboration, and long-term engagement with communities whose histories are being documented.

LIMITATIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

While this study offers a comprehensive synthesis of recent literature, it is limited by its reliance on published academic sources, which may themselves reflect existing epistemic hierarchies. Future research would benefit from incorporating community-authored materials, unpublished archives, and practitioner-led documentation projects. Additionally, empirical case studies examining the long-term impacts of participatory and digital heritage initiatives would further illuminate best practices and ethical challenges in representing marginalized histories.

Future scholarship should also explore comparative analyses across regions and linguistic contexts within Africa, as well as the role of arts-based research, including theatre, film, and visual culture, in sustaining historical memory. Such work would deepen understanding of how hidden voices can be recovered not only as historical data, but as living, evolving narratives embedded in everyday cultural practice.

FINAL REFLECTION

Ultimately, *Hidden Voices, Shared Histories* argues that the representation of marginalized African communities is a moral, political, and epistemological imperative. Recovering suppressed histories is not simply about filling gaps in the archive, but about confronting historical injustice and reimagining more equitable modes of knowledge production. By centering marginalized voices, embracing methodological diversity, and fostering shared authority, African historiography can move toward a more inclusive and humane understanding of the continent's past, one that honors its plural identities and affirms the dignity of all its peoples.

REFERENCES

- Ake, C. (2019). *Democracy and Development in Africa*. Routledge.
- Biney, M. (2021). *Decolonising Politics in Africa*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Boateng, A. A. (2020). Reinstating the inherent dignity of marginalized communities in Ghana. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies in Education*, 9(SI), 80-101.
- Chikafa-Chipiro, R. (2023). Decolonial digital archives: Reclaiming African narratives. *Digital Humanities Quarterly*, 17(2), 1-18.
- Crenshaw, K. (2019). *On Intersectionality*. Routledge.
- Fanon, F. (2018). *The wretched of the earth*. Grove Press.
- Karuga, R., Kabaria, C., Chumo, I., et al. (2023). Voices and challenges of marginalized and vulnerable groups in urban informal settlements in Nairobi, Kenya. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 1175326.
- Moyo, T. (2024). Digital heritage and the politics of memory in Africa. *African Studies Review*, 67(1), 45-63.
- Ndirangu, L. N. (2022). Political participation in Africa: Inclusion of marginalized groups as a panacea to democratic elections. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 10(9), 1-15.

- Pardhan, S., Sehmbi, T., Wijewickrama, R., et al. (2025). Barriers and facilitators for engaging underrepresented ethnic minority populations in healthcare research: An umbrella review. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 24(70).
- Arowosegbe, J. O. (2024). *Decolonising African knowledge production: Archives, power and epistemic justice*. Routledge.
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139-167.
- Fricke, M. (2007). *Epistemic injustice: Power and the ethics of knowing*. Oxford University Press.
- Grech, S. (2015). *Disability and poverty in the global South: Renegotiating development in Guatemala*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Mabwe, T. (2022). Digital marginalisation in Africa. *Journal of African Media Studies*, 14(1), 1-15.
- Mamdani, M. (2018). *Citizen and subject*. Princeton University Press.
- Mbembe, A. (2001). *On the postcolony*. University of California Press.
- Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. (2020). *Decoloniality in Africa*. Routledge.
- Ngoepe, M., & Bhebe, S. (2024). Re-imagining archives in Africa: Memory, orality and indigenous knowledge systems. *Archival Science*, 24(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-023-09440-2>
- Nyamnjoh, F. (2020). *Incompleteness*. African Books Collective.
- Risam, R. (2019). *New digital worlds: Postcolonial digital humanities in theory, praxis, and pedagogy*. Northwestern University Press.
- Tamale, S. (2020). *Decolonization and Afro-feminism*. Daraja Press.
- Taylor, D. (2003). *The archive and the repertoire: Performing cultural memory in the Americas*. Duke University Press.
- Vansina, J. (1985). *Oral tradition as history*. University of Wisconsin Press.