



Beyond Speculative Waves: Innovation, Markets, and Collective Development

Giovanni Antonio Cossiga

Board of Auditors of the Policlinico Umberto 1 Sapienza University - ROME

Abstract: The expansion of markets is likely to remain a central feature of the near future, particularly as scientific and technological innovation opens new fields of investment, production, and social transformation. The central issue is therefore not the rejection of market dynamics, but their orientation within a coherent framework of collective development. In the absence of such a framework, innovation risks being absorbed by successive speculative waves, generating financial acceleration without stable progress and technological expansion without a corresponding advancement of civilization. Scientific research should be understood as a dynamic force that reshapes market structures by generating innovations capable of altering investment expectations, economic priorities, and the broader trajectory of human development. These innovations may produce speculative pressures, especially when financial actors seek to anticipate which technological advances will become the basis of future social transformation. Yet finance cannot be reduced to speculation alone. It also constitutes a channel through which capital is mobilized to support innovation, while the valuation of emerging technologies may reflect the assessment of uncertainty, the assumption of risk, and participation in long-term development. China provides a significant precedent. The relocation of Western manufacturing to Asia activated new investment, productivity, and technological dynamics. Artificial intelligence may now represent a comparable threshold, not merely as a productive instrument but as a force capable of reshaping industry, labor, research, and social organization. The principal challenge is to guide this transition beyond speculative excesses toward a controlled, inclusive, and collectively governed model of development. The downturn of the 2008-2010 economic cycle may also be interpreted as an ambiguous prelude to the transfer of industrial capacity toward China, supported by the speculative advantages granted by global stock markets in the transition from an increasingly mature industrial system to the qualitative leap now associated with artificial intelligence. AI still contains significant potential for economic management and for the rationalization of resources essential to human life. In this sense, the path of the global economy often appears to move through incongruous passages that only later reveal a broader structural design.

Keywords: Market dynamics, speculative waves, technological innovation, artificial intelligence, collective development, social progress.

INTRODUCTION

This article examines the role of economic, technological, and scientific progress in the diffusion of productive capacities beyond national and continental borders. The advance of knowledge and invention does not simply replace one model of work with another; it may also generate the transfer, from one continent to another, of technologies that have reached a mature stage of development. Such transfers occur when new productive systems require forms of labor, expertise, organization, and intervention that are difficult to reconcile with the persistence of earlier technological structures. The relocation of

industrial activities from America and Europe to Asia, and in particular to China, offers a paradigmatic case. Within a few decades, shareholders and managers often chose to move entire production plants from their countries of origin to a context perceived as more favorable in economic, organizational, and financial terms.

A central factor in this process was liberalization, which gave an operation of considerable complexity the appearance of economic plausibility and market rationality. The broad convergence of Western industrial managers and shareholders toward the relocation of production plants to China can be read through the classical notion of the “invisible hand”: an unintended order emerging from the coordinated effects of individual decisions within the market. Although this concept should not be treated as a mechanical explanation, its persistence in economic and philosophical debate indicates its analytical relevance. It captures the possibility that decentralized market behavior may produce systemic outcomes that no single actor has fully designed.

The rise in financial valuations that accompanied this transition helped to support and legitimize the movement of a significant share of the industrial apparatus from the American Rust Belt and Europe toward continental Asia. What initially appeared as a set of national or corporate decisions gradually assumed a global dimension: the reorganization of productive systems on a scale without historical precedent. The process also contributed to a rebalancing of cultural experience, technical capacity, and productive opportunity, through which China moved from widespread poverty and unemployment to a central role in the world economy, alongside the United States and Europe.

This development also involved a convergence between economic and financial incentives: cost reduction on the one hand, and the appreciation of assets linked to industrial relocation on the other. The reasons why this movement primarily affected China are complex. Among them, the symbolic legacy of Tiananmen must be considered. The tension between demands for political freedom and the prospect of widespread employment entered the formation of a new social and economic order in Asia. In this context, the expansion of work opportunities became a powerful force of stabilization and integration, enabling a new relationship between West and East within the decade that followed liberalization.

The relationship that progressively emerged between East and West may therefore be interpreted as an attempt to reduce historical asymmetries through denser economic, productive, and cultural relations. From this perspective, the advance of civilization in more developed countries may, once a certain level of social and technological maturity has been reached, create the conditions for an orderly transfer of development toward areas that have remained behind, thereby fostering the gradual convergence of different civilizational experiences.

In this view, economic and scientific development should not widen disparities in living standards but should promote convergence among territories toward more homogeneous forms of civilization. Competition among countries would consequently shift from the military sphere to the cultural, economic, and scientific domains, through comparison and the diffusion of the most advanced processes. The future of human development should therefore be conceived as a path through which academic, economic, and technological innovation can be disseminated, while mature technologies are transferred to areas still distant from full civil, scientific, and economic development.

The persistence of international inequalities, however, continues to influence political relations among states. The idea of a leading country and subordinate countries was historically understandable in the aftermath of the Second World War, when the United States had emerged victorious, Europe had been devastated, and the American continent had been spared the most destructive effects of the conflict. The contemporary situation is different. China has entered the international system as a major power and now occupies a position that increasingly reshapes the previous hierarchy of global relations.

The transfer of industrial capacity cannot therefore be assessed solely in relation to China's internal political development; it must also be situated within the broader framework of relations among states. Beyond the emphatic rhetoric that often surrounds relations between America and China, the Chinese trajectory may also be interpreted as the result of a historical process in which America and Europe partly divested themselves of major industrial assets, thereby contributing to the rise of comparable levels of industrial civilization in China and parts of continental Asia.

If, instead of interpreting the relationship between old and new protagonists on the international stage as a new opposition between West and East, artificial intelligence were assumed as a possible field of scientific cooperation, the speech delivered by Xi Jinping would deserve particular attention. According to the Chinese president, AI "should not be a solo performance by a single country, but a symphony of international cooperation"; its development should be oriented "toward progress, goodness, and the service of humanity," while its supervision and governance should be made "precise and effective," also in order to prevent this technology from escaping human control. Beyond the inevitable political caution with which any official statement must be assessed, this position contains an argumentative core that is difficult to overlook: international collaboration in the field of AI now concerns not only technological competition, but the very possibility of orienting progress toward shared goals of security, knowledge, and collective well-being. Some observers may interpret the insistence on cooperation as an attempt to overcome asymmetries in the development of artificial intelligence; nevertheless, even in that case, the issue remains too relevant to be dismissed as a mere diplomatic formula. Broader collaboration among universities, research centers, and technology companies, including through the contribution of Italian, European, American, and Chinese scholars and experts, could foster the circulation of knowledge and the definition of common standards. In this way, the results of research would not remain the exclusive patrimony of individual countries or institutions but could contribute to new shared achievements. This does not mean, however, that a new season of liberalization analogous to the one that, some decades ago, accompanied the transfer of entire industrial sectors to Asia is imminent. A phenomenon of such magnitude would require a complex convergence of financial, economic, productive, and social conditions: the presence of a mature sector on a vast territorial scale, finance capable of supporting and enhancing the assets involved, a sufficiently significant labor-cost differential, and broad consensus among shareholders, managers, and civil society regarding the direction of development. Only the future will show whether a new hypothesis of accelerated development will be able to find such concord. For the moment, what remains is the already significant fact of the strong acceleration of artificial intelligence, which continues to surprise the scientific world and society as a whole.

IA AND GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT

It cannot be stated with certainty that a new convergence of favorable economic, scientific, and financial conditions could once again activate a transfer of development capacity from one continent to another. At the same time, there are no sufficient reasons to exclude this possibility, since the future configuration of global processes remains largely undetermined. It may nevertheless be argued that, if a similar dynamic were to reappear, it would necessarily involve an advance beyond the current stage of development. The success that enabled China to position itself alongside the United States in an international system increasingly tending toward bipolarity confirms, from this point of view, the historical importance of the previous process: the set of benefits produced by the qualitative technological and economic leap contributed to the still incomplete but decisive objective of bringing different areas of the world closer to more homogeneous levels of civilization. It is therefore evident that, if artificial intelligence continues to progress rapidly, consequences of comparable scope may emerge, even if not necessarily in identical forms. For the moment, however, there is no evidence that globalization can be reproduced under the same conditions.

Figure – a world pervaded by AI and shaped by online communication flows.



Figure – Artificial intelligence, global knowledge networks, and shared development among continents.

Nevertheless, it may be argued that, in the presence of conditions analogous to those that characterized the transfer of productive and technological capacities between the West and Asia, comparable dynamics could reappear in new forms. The literature on global value chains has shown that the international reorganization of production consists not merely in the relocation of costs, but in a process of recomposition of skills, industrial hierarchies, and technological capacities among different economic areas (Gereffi, 1994; Gereffi, Humphrey and Sturgeon, 2005). In the Chinese case, this process was further strengthened by openness to foreign direct investment, integration into the circuits of world trade, and the gradual formation of manufacturing systems capable of absorbing, adapting, and reworking knowledge from outside (Naughton, 2007; Rodrik, 2011). In the future, marked by an acceleration of scientific and technological processes greater than that observed in

previous phases of globalization, universities and research centers could assume a decisive role in forming the conditions necessary to transfer progressive shares of development toward territorial areas that are still backward. From this perspective, the transfer of industrial development toward Asia should not be interpreted as a loss for the West, but rather as a further phase in the historical process of expanding economic and technological civilization. China's transformation from a poor country into a central protagonist of world development constitutes, in this respect, an especially significant example. It is plausible to believe that Chinese society has recognized the value of the Western contribution, while preserving the depth of its own history and imperial tradition. Over a few decades, China has in fact assimilated numerous elements of European and American economic, technical, and everyday culture, adapting them to its own social and institutional structure. This openness has not been limited to the productive sphere or consumption but has also extended to the new frontiers of knowledge, as shown by the reception given to artificial intelligence, promoted as an instrument of innovation and, at the same time, as a possible means for a broader sharing of the benefits of digital development. Studies on the digital economy and artificial intelligence indeed emphasize that advanced technologies do not act only as instruments of productive efficiency, but can redefine work, organization, productivity, and the distribution of social benefits, provided they are accompanied by institutions capable of orienting their collective use (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2014; Agrawal, Gans and Goldfarb, 2018; Brynjolfsson, Agrawal and Korinek, 2025). This experience too suggests that the path of economic, social, scientific, and technological development now tends to take shape as an increasingly interdependent and global process. Contemporary China, while rooted in the long history of its own institutions and cultures, has chosen to engage with models developed earlier by the West, collaborating with them and reinterpreting their practices, habits, and tools. It follows that, especially in the fields of science, technology, and economic organization, development trajectories appear less and less separable and increasingly oriented toward common forms of civil and productive development.

FROM RUINS TO RENEWAL: A CHINESE CITY AT THE END OF WORLD WAR II



Figure – Chongqing, China's largest municipality, with more than 30 million inhabitants and a striking modern skyline.



Guangzhou, an immense urban agglomeration of more than 30 million inhabitants, visually reveals with striking force what modernity often prefers to conceal: development does not erase the past; it overwhelms it. The towers of the hypermodern skyline rise upward, while traces of ancient Chinese wooden buildings persist below. This is not merely an aesthetic contrast, but a historical fracture made visible in urban space. The new city advances vertically, forcefully and dominantly; the ancient city remains compressed in a horizontal dimension, almost reduced to the residual testimony of a world that modernity lacks the courage to eliminate entirely, yet also lacks the will to preserve fully. It is nevertheless important to emphasize that the melancholy, though not entirely negative, vertigo produced by these images suggests that a great American city increasingly resembles a powerful Chinese city and that, given comparable cultural, technological, and economic levels of development, the future may, *rebus sic stantibus*, become increasingly similar.

From this emerges a conclusion that is difficult to avoid: global modernity, while proclaiming innovation and progress, tends in practice to produce uniformity. The cities of the old world possessed recognizable architectural features, linked to the history, territory, and culture of their peoples. Today, however, the skylines of New York and Guangzhou increasingly resemble one another, as if urban competition had reduced the identity of cities to the mere capacity to rise higher. The verticality of the towers thus becomes the standardized language of global development: a powerful language, certainly, but also an impoverished one, because it replaces the richness of local differences with the repetitive obsession with height, efficiency, and image.

The same mechanism is reproduced in the field of science and research. Here too, the rhetoric of innovation risks concealing a growing convergence toward dominant models imposed by technological competition and by the pressure of major centers of economic power. Just as modern towers compete to surpass one another in height, universities and research centers often seem compelled to pursue the same priorities, the same languages, and the same promises of the future. Scientific production no longer remains confined within distinct national traditions but follows common lines in the advanced universities of the West as well as in the new research hubs of Asia and China. This convergence can certainly generate progress; yet it can also reduce the plurality of scientific paths to a uniform race toward whatever the market, finance, and technology present, at any given moment, as inevitable.

From this perspective, artificial intelligence emerges as one of the principal points of convergence among contemporary scientific, technological, and economic processes. After the profound transformation that redefined the worldwide distribution of industrial apparatuses, scientific research now appears to be moving with increasing intensity toward AI, assigning to it a function that is not merely instrumental but structurally enabling with respect to the expansion of human cognitive and operational capacities. AI acts, in fact, as an accelerator of the processes of analysis, elaboration, and application of knowledge, affecting both the organization of research and the education of new generations, and even supporting the most advanced phases of intellectual life among those who have devoted themselves to study, reflection, and scientific production. Precisely because of its effectiveness, however, artificial intelligence cannot be interpreted as a substitute for the proper human faculty of understanding, inventing, and attributing meaning. Its power lies in its ability to amplify, order, and accelerate cognitive activity; yet the direction of that activity, the critical assessment of results, and creative intuition remain entrusted to the human mind. It follows that AI should be regarded not as an autonomous principle of knowledge, but as a device of mediation and enhancement, called upon to assist human beings in discovering the many scientific secrets still enclosed within the immensity of the nature that surrounds them.

CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY

The analysis developed in this article suggests that scientific and technological innovation cannot be left entirely to the autonomous logic of financial speculation. Major productive transformations have often been accompanied by speculative waves that anticipate, distort, and sometimes accelerate change. Yet when finance claims to replace political judgment, scientific responsibility, and social regulation, progress risks assuming the form of renewed domination. Artificial intelligence should therefore not become an instrument through which a limited number of centers accumulate rents, data, computing capacity, and influence while societies absorb the costs of transition. If AI is to enter industrial production, services, care, offices, and factories, it should do so as a means of reducing repetitive labor and expanding human capabilities, rather than as a justification for the further devaluation of work.

Recent reflections on artificial intelligence, including those that emphasize its capacity to identify new paths of research and decision-making, confirm the transformative scope of this technology. At the same time, deterministic interpretations of technological progress should be avoided. Predictions concerning the future superiority of AI over human intelligence or its possible effects on the economic meaning of money must be treated not as inevitable outcomes, but as hypotheses shaped by specific interests, institutional settings, and strategies of power. The future of AI will depend less on technological capacity alone than on the ability of societies to govern innovation, define limits for finance, and subordinate technological development to the common good.

FINAL SUMMARY

This article has interpreted contemporary economic transformation as the result of an interaction among scientific innovation, finance, markets, and civil development. The

transfer of large portions of Western industrial capacity to China has been examined not simply as a relocation of production, but as a historical phase in the redistribution of technological and industrial capabilities between continents. China's rise thus represents a significant precedent for understanding how economic expansion can alter global balances, reduce certain historical distances, and generate new forms of convergence among different systems.

Artificial intelligence has been presented as a further threshold in this process. It is not merely a productive technology, but a force capable of reshaping work, research, education, services, and everyday life. For this reason, it cannot be entrusted solely to the logic of speculative finance or to the concentration of technological power. Properly governed, AI may support collective advancement; left exclusively to market incentives, it may instead reproduce and intensify global inequality.

The central conclusion is that technological progress does not automatically coincide with human progress. Innovation becomes authentic development only when it is guided by institutions, universities, research centers, and societies capable of regulating finance, protecting the value of human labor, and orienting technology toward collective ends. Under these conditions, artificial intelligence may become a positive threshold of civilization rather than the contemporary expression of older economic and political hierarchies.

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, A., Gans, J. and Goldfarb, A. (2018), *Prediction Machines: The Simple Economics of Artificial Intelligence*, Harvard Business Review Press;
- Baltes, P.B. and Smith, J. (2003), "New frontiers in the future of aging: from successful aging of the young old to
- Bernard, A.B., Fort, T.C., Smeets, V. and Warzynski, F. (2020), "Heterogeneous Globalization: Offshoring and Reorganization," NBER Working Paper and related firm-level research on offshoring.
- Brendan Brown - 21st Century Monetary Policy: The Federal Reserve from the Great Inflation to COVID-19 September 2022 *The Quarterly Journal of Austrian Economics* 25(2)
- Brander Carter, B. (1970). "An axisymmetric black hole has only two degrees of freedom". *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 26 (6): 331-333. Bibcode:1971PhRvL. 26..331C. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.26.331
- Brynjolfsson, E. and McAfee, A. (2014), *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*, W. W. Norton;
- Brynjolfsson, E., Agrawal, A. and Korinek, A. (2025), *A Research Agenda for the Economics of Transformative AI*, NBER Working Paper No. 34256
- Carstensen, L.L., Sakowitz, D.M. and Charles, S.T. (1999), "Taking time seriously: A theory of socioemotional selectivity," *American psychologist*, Vol. 54 No. 3, pp. 165-181.
- Collins, W.J. and Margo, R.A. (2004), Historical perspectives on racial differences in schooling in the United States. *Handbook of the economics of education* 1, pp. 1-56.
- Cossiga, G.A. (2018a), "The Search for Inflation on a Constant Basis at 2%", *International Journal of Social Sciences*, Vol. 7, pp. 165-181.
- Cossiga, G.A. (2018b), "Signals from the World of Economics. The Price Constant and the Democratic Issue," *International Journal of Social and Administrative Sciences*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 1-21.

- Cossiga, G.A. (2022), "The Theoretical Bases for Sustainability in Economics", Social Science and Sustainability Research, Vol. 3 No. 3
- Cossiga, G.A. (2021), "Between the World of Economics and the World of Physical Sciences There Would be a Link That is Anything but Hypothetical" - Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal - Vol. 8, No. 12
- Cossiga, G.A. (2023) "The Economic Sustainability and the Good Government" - Social Science Humanities and Sustainability Research. Vol. 3, No. 4, - DOI: 10.22158/sshsr.v3n4p1
- Cicerone, M.T. (1990) - La vecchiaia - L'amicizia - Garzanti Editore Spa - ISBN 978-88-11-13175-5
- Diamond, J. (1997), Guns, germs, and steel: The fates of human societies. WW Norton & Company.
- Dillaway, H.E. and Byrnes, M. (2009), "Reconfiguring the fourth age: Framing the oldest old", Journal of Aging Studies, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 182-190.
- Dozon, J. P., Atlani-Duault L. - Colonization, Development, Humanitarian Aid: Towards a Political Anthropology of International Aid - Ethnology Française - Vol. 41 - SSN 0046-2616
- Dozon, J. P., (2008) L'Afrique à Dieu et à Diable. États, ethnies et religions, Paris, Éditions Ellipses, 138 p.
- Fagan, B.M. (2017), The great warming: Climate change and the rise and fall of civilizations. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Fukuyama, F. (1992), The end of history and the last man. Free Press
- Diamandis P.H., Kotler. S. - (2014) Abbondanza. Il futuro è migliore di quanto pensate - Codice edizioni ISBN: 9788875784058
- Gereffi, G., Humphrey, J. and Sturgeon, T. (2005), "The Governance of Global Value Chains", *Review of International Political Economy*, 12(1), 78-104;
- Gittleman, M. and Wolff, E.N. (2004), "Racial wealth disparities: Is the gap closing?", *International Review of Applied Economics*, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. 457-469.
- Gordon, A.D. (2016), The political economy of aggrandizement: The United States and territorial expansion, 1800-1860. Routledge.
- Hume, D - (1751). An Enquiry Concerning the Principles of Morals. David Hume, Essays Moral, Political, and Literary edited with preliminary dissertations and notes by T.H. Green and T.H. Grose, 1:1-8. London: Longmans, Green 1907.
- Huntington, S.P. (1996), The clash of civilizations and the remaking of world order. Simon & Schuster. International Labour Organization (2021), "COVID-19 and the world of work", available at: https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---comm/documents/briefingnote/wcms_767028.pdf (accessed 19 April 2023).
- Ianchovichina, E. and Martin, W. (2004), "Impacts of China's Accession to the World Trade Organization," *The World Bank Economic Review*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 3-27.
- Bhattasali, D. and Kawai, M. (2001), "Implications of China's Accession to the World Trade Organization," *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*.
- Lardy, N.R. (1995), "The Role of Foreign Trade and Investment in China's Economic Transformation," *The China Quarterly*, No. 144, pp. 1065-1082.
- International Monetary Fund (2003), "Foreign Direct Investment in China: Some Lessons for Other Countries," in *China: Competing in the Global Economy*, IMF eLibrary.
- Liu, Y. (2022), "Does Foreign Direct Investment Catalyze Local Structural Transformation and Human Capital Accumulation? Evidence from China," *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 9952*.

- Choi, J., Cui, G., Shim, Y. and Shin, Y. (2025), "The Dynamics of Technology Transfer: Multinational Investment in China and Rising Global Competition," NBER Working Paper No. 34284.
- Jefferson, T. (1787), Letter to William Stephens Smith. Founders Online.
- Kissinger, H (2022) "Ordine Mondiale "RCS Media Group Printed in Italy
- Kose, M.A., Otrok, C. and Whiteman, C.H. (2019), "Understanding fluctuations in emerging market economies", *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, Vol. 11 No. 4, pp. 1-39.
- Krampe, R.T. and Ericsson, K.A. (1996), "Maintaining excellence: deliberate practice and elite performance in young and older pianists," *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, Vol. 125 No. 4, pp. 331-359. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability* Vol. 12 No. 6 (2023): 185-211 ISDS www.isdsnet.com 211
- Mearsheimer, J.J. (2014), *The tragedy of great power politics*. WW Norton & Company.
- Milanović, B., Lakner, C. - (2013) "Global Income Distribution: From the Fall of the Berlin Wall to the Great Recession" - Policy Research Working Paper- World Bank
- Naughton, B. (2009), "The Impact of the Tiananmen Crisis on China's Economic Transition," *China Perspectives*, 2009/2, pp. 63-78. DOI: 10.4000/chinaperspectives.4807.
- Naughton, B. (2009), "The Impact of the Tiananmen Crisis on China's Economic Transition," *China Perspectives*, 2009/2, pp. 63-78.
- Naughton, B. (2007), *The Chinese Economy: Transitions and Growth*, MIT Press; Rodrik, D. (2011), *The Globalization Paradox: Democracy and the Future of the World Economy*, W. W. Norton.
- Oliver, M.L. and Shapiro, T.M. (2006) - *Black wealth/white wealth: A new perspective on racial inequality*. Taylor & Francis.
- Milanovich, B. (1998) - *Income, Inequality, and Poverty during the Transition from Planned to Market Economy*. World Bank
- Morse, J. (1783), *A view of Present situation of the United States of America*, John Stockdale London 2ed, pp.468-469
- Piketty, T. (2013), *Capital in the twenty-first century*. Harvard University Press.
- President Jefferson (1859) - "Continental of the United States Policy" *The Acquisition on Cuba* - in *United States - Magazine and Democratic Review*, April 1859
- Pope Francis (2014), *Evangelii Gaudium: Apostolic Exhortation on the Proclamation of the Gospel in Today's World*. Vatican Press.
- Raworth, K. (2017), *Doughnut economics: Seven ways to think like a 21st-century economist*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
- Samuelson, W. and Zeckhauser, R. (1988), "Status quo bias in decision making", *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*- Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 7-59.
- Sen, A. (2009), *The idea of justice*. Harvard University Press.
- Shapiro, T.M., Meschede, T. and Osoro, S. (2013), *The roots of the widening racial wealth gap: Explaining the Black-white economic divide*. Institute on Assets and Social Policy.
- Soroka, S.N. and Wlezien, C. (2010), *Degrees of democracy: Politics, public opinion, and policy*. Cambridge University Press.
- Smith, A. (1922) *Inquiry into the nature and causes of the wealth of nations*. 1, London, Methuen, 1922.
- Stoller, E.P. (2013), "Learning from wise elders: mental health policies and programs to support a productive aging workforce," *Journal of gerontological social work*, Vol. 56 No. 4, pp. 331-341.

Zhao, S. (1993), "Deng Xiaoping's Southern Tour: Elite Politics in Post-Tiananmen China," *Asian Survey*, Vol. 33 No. 8, pp. 739-756.

UNDP (2021a), *Human Development Report 2020: The Next Frontier- Human Development and the Anthropocene*. United Nations Development Programme.

UNDP (2021b), "Sustainable development goals", available at <https://www.undp.org/sustainabledevelopment-goals> (accessed 19 April 2023).

OECD - OECD Stat Database, various years